

10/813,737  
Page 9  
December 7, 2005

### REMARKS

Responsive to the Examiner's Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment, mailed November 17, 2005, the status identifier has been provided for claim 14, and corrected for claims 17 and 18.

Responsive to the outstanding office action dated August 10, 2005, Claims 13 and 14 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. Claims 1 - 9 and 12 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Rosenberg. Claims 10 and 11 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Rosenberg. Claims 13 and 14 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being obvious over Rosenberg. The Examiner's review is appreciated. In view of the above amendment and following remarks, the Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider the outstanding objections and rejections and allow the present application to issue.

By way of the present amendment, claims 13 and 14 have been amended to clarify the distances and heights that the Examiner identified. Review and approval of the same is respectfully requested.

With regard to the outstanding rejections of the claims under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) and 35 U.S.C. §103(a), each which rely upon Rosenberg, the Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider these rejections. With regard to independent claim 1, this claim recites "... a divider wall ... cooperative with said fluid receptacle to prevent fluid from passing between said fluid receptacle interior and exterior when said special receptacle cap first coupling is coupled to said fluid receptacle coupling in a closing coupled relation. ... a second coupling affixed to said divider wall engaging with said cover coupling in a closing coupled relation to form an enclosed chamber isolated from said receptacle" As the Examiner will observe, the Rosenberg patent illustrates a side wall extension (middle section 14) which is generally cylindrical, and which does not include a divider wall to form a separate chamber from receptacle 20. Instead, middle section 14 is merely a removable cylinder, which when removed shortens the side walls so that a person can still reach the peanut butter without bumping fingers against the side walls. No divider wall or isolated and enclosed chamber is present.

Claim 13 similarly recites: "a divider wall ... an internally threaded cavity adjacent to

10/813,737  
Page 10  
December 7, 2005

and extending from said divider wall in a first direction and encompassing and engaging with said external threads and extending between said first open end of said bottle neck and said second body end of said bottle neck; and an externally threaded neck adjacent to and extending from said divider wall in a direction generally opposed to said first direction enclosed at a first end by said divider wall and open at a second end and further having external threads, said externally threaded neck extending a distance between said first end and said second end approximately equal to said distance between said first open end of said bottle neck and said second body end of said bottle neck; said cap having an internally threaded cavity encompassing and engaging with said external threads of said sectional container neck . . .” Finally, newly added claim 15 recites the same features as claim 1, only with application specifically to a beverage container, as disclosed in the present specification such on page 7 in lines 9 - 11 and line 17. Newly added dependent claims 16, 17, and 18 correspond to original dependent claims 2, 10 and 11 respectively.

Since each of the independent claims recites the use of a divider wall, which permits isolation between medicaments such as aspirin and the liquid or beverage contained in the receptacle, and since the Rosenberg published application is silent on this point, the Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider the present rejection of record and allow the present application to issue as a patent. No new matter is introduced by the present amendment. However, should there remain any open issues in this application which might be resolved by telephone, the Examiner is respectfully requested to call the undersigned at 320-363-7296 to further discuss the advancement of this application. While no fees should be due in the present case, please charge any necessary small entity fees to deposit account 17-0155.

Sincerely,

  
Albert W. Watkins  
reg. 31,676